For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	ALIFORNIA

JOSEPH ARTHUR RODRIGUEZ,

No. C 05-05068 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

M. S. EVANS, Warden,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff requests the appointment of counsel to represent him in this action.

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Social Services, 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which
would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a <u>pro bono</u> appointment. The proceedings are at
an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the
merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately <u>pro se</u> in light of the
complexity of the issues involved. See Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of America, 390 F.3d 1101,
1103 (9th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED.
This does not mean, however, that the Court will not consider appointment of counsel at a later
juncture in the proceedings, that is, after Defendants have filed their dispositive motion and the
Court has a better understanding of the procedural and substantive matters at issue. Therefore,
Plaintiff may file a renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive
motion has been filed. If the Court decides that appointment of counsel is warranted at that time,
then it can seek volunteer counsel to agree to represent Plaintiff pro bono.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
/

DATED: <u>4/24/08</u>

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
4	RODRIGUEZ,			
5	Case Number: CV05-05068 SBA Plaintiff,			
6	V. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
7	EVANS et al,			
8	Defendant.			
9	/			
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.			
11	That on April 25, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said			
12	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle			
13	located in the Clerk's office.			
14				
15	Joseph Arthur Rodriguez K66400			
16	California Correctional Institution			
17	P.O. Box 1902 Tehachapi, CA 93581			
18	Dated: April 25, 2008			
19	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				